LING 675 Syntax 4 LING 775 Seminar in Syntax Topics in Embedding Strategies

TR 1:05 pm - 2:25 pm 1085 Dr. Pen eld, room 117

Last updated on January 6, 2025

Junko Shimoyama

Of ce hours: Tuesdays 3:00-4:00 pm & by appointment

Of ce location: 1085 Dr. Pen eld, Rm 219

Phone: 514-398-4867

E-mail: junko.shimoyama@mcgill.ca

Course Description

The course explores current cross-linguistic issues in syntax and its interfaces. Through in-depth investigations of particular issues, students will develop skills necessary to do independent research, such as (i) constructing arguments by carefully following logical steps, (ii) formulating hypotheses and exploring their consequences, (iii) nding empirical puzzles and developing them into research questions for a project.

Speci cally, we will explore selected topics in the area of embedding strategies cross-linguistically. Two sub-areas we will focus on are: (a) nite-clause embedding under D-like elements and (b) speech-act embedding. Speci c topics for (a) include: `referential' clauses under D and propositional anaphora; and for (b): embedded main clause phenomena, `quasi'-subordination, and phenomena of Insubordination. Along the way, we will also explore ways in which interpretation can be used as evidence for syntactic structure.

Evaluation

For LING 675:

Contributions to class discussions: 20%

Two mini-squibs: 12% (2x6%)

Student-led discussions (critical evaluation of an article): 8%

Final project presentation & paper: 60%

For LING 775 (Pass/Fail)

Contributions to class discussions: 60%

Student-led discussions (critical evaluation of an article): 40%

Contributions to class discussions

Class discussions will assume that you have done the required readings. You are expected to contribute to class discussions by bringing in your own questions and comments on the readings. To be prepared to do so, students should post questions or data discoveries arising from the required readings on the Discussion board on myCourses, by 8 pm of the day before class. Another way of making contributions to class discussions is to raise questions and/or engage in discussions with the instructor during of ce hours.

Mini-squibs

Mini-squib 1 (1 page): due February 13 Mini-squib 2 (1 page): due March 20

Mini-squibs let you pick up on and explore questions, issues, data points and so forth that were discussed but left open in class. The purpose of this exercise is to help you with the process of identifying appropriate research questions for your nal project.

Student-led discussions (Critical evaluation of an article)

Leading discussions on an article will give you an opportunity to get deeper into the topic you are interested in and critically evaluate existing analyses. You will choose one abticles (uary 21) that discusses topics related to those covered in class. You can choose an article from the histed uired readings (very short articles are excluded), or an article that is not listed on the course outline, in consultation with the instructor. You can aim for approximately 45 minutes of presentation, not including the time for questions and discussions from the audience.

Try to include in your presentation:

- -A brief summary of the goals and the main issues addressed in the paper.
- -Presentation of the main proposal and critical evaluation of the proposed analysis and arguments presented.
- -Discussion of predictions made by the analysis you are critiquing and new data that bear on the analysis.

Final project (presentation and paper)

Your paper will deal with a topic discussed in class or related to those discussed in class that you want to get more into and think more aboutour paper is expected to go beyond a critical review, by making empirical and/or theoretical contributions that are original. Make sure that in your paper, it is clear what are your own contributions (data, analyses, criticisms) and what are from the literature. Your paper will also be evaluated as to whether it shows evidence for potential in completing satisfactory evaluation papers (or an MA research paper), as well as whether it shows evidence that you have learned something from the course.

Meeting with me:

Presentation (25 min. + 10 min. for questions):

April 4, 6, 11

Paper (maximum 10 single-spaced pages, Times 12 pt. or equivalent):

Due Wed. April 20

Academic Integrity and Other Statements

Copyright: Instructor-generated course materials

Instructor-generated course materials (e.g., slides, handouts, conference materials, assignments, quizzes, exam questions, answer keys, etc.) are protected by law and may not be copied or distributed in any form or in any medium without explicit permission of the instructor. Note that infringements of copyright can be subject to follow up by the University under the Code of Student Conduct and Disciplinary Procedures (see www.mcgill.ca/students/srr/honest/ for more information).

Academic integrity

McGill University values academic integrity. Therefore, all students must understand the meaning and consequences of cheating, plagiarism and other academic offences under the code of student conduct and disciplinary procedures (see www.mcgill.ca/students/srr/honest/ for more information).

L'université McGill attache une haute importanzelhonnêteré academique. Il incombe par coésquenta tous lesétudiants de comprendre ce que l'on entend par tricherie, plagiat et autres infractions inquats, ainsi que les consequences que peuvent avoir de telles actions, selon le Code de condutte dia l'it et des proédures disciplinaires (pour de plus amples renseignements, veuillez consulter le site www.mcgill.ca/students/srr/honest/).

In case you consider working on related topics for term papers in two courses, it is very important that you bring it up to all the instructors involved and get approval.

Right to submit in French

In accord with McGill University's Charter of Students' Rights, students in this course have the right to submit in English or in French any written work that is to be graded.

Conformémenta la Charte des droits détudiant de l'Université McGill, chaquétudiant a le droit de soumettre en français ou en anglais tout traévailt devanêtre note (sauf dans le cas des cours dont l'un des objets est la maise d'une langue).

Inclusive learning environment

As the instructor of this course I endeavour to provide an inclusive learning environment. However, if you experience barriers to learning in this course, do not hesitate to discuss them with me and the Of ce for Students with Disabilities, 514-398-6009.

Extraordinary circumstances

In the event of extraordinary circumstances beyond the University's control, the content and/or evaluation scheme in this course is subject to change.

Land acknowledgement

McGill University is on land which long served as a site of meeting and exchange amongst Indigenous peoples, including the Haudenosaunee and Anishinabeg nations. We acknowledge and thank the diverse Indigenous people whose footsteps have marked this territory on which peoples of the world now gather.

Schedule (tentative & subject to modi cation)

Wk	Date	Topic & Reading (*=required)
1	1/7, 9	Introduction: embedding strategies
		(a) nite-clause embedding under D-like elements & (b) speech-act embedding
2	1/14, 16	`Degrees' of nominalization
		*Korn It and Whitman (2011); Baker (2011); Korn It (2007)
		[Lingua special issue 201]1
3	1/21, 23	`Referential' clauses?
		*Kastner (2015); Haegeman alūdrögdi (2010); Bhatt (2010); Hiraiwa (2010)
		[Theoretical Linguisticsspecial issue 201]0
4	1/28, 30	
		*Moulton (2020)
5	2/4, 6	D+CP & propositional anaphora
		*Moulton (2020); Harley (2020)/[lexiadou & Borer eds. 2020]
		Arkoh and Matthewson (2013); Lee (2019) zy ld z (2017); Bondarenko (2020);
		Bochnak and Hanink (2021)
		JS on Bogal-Allbritten et al. (2021)
		**Not required to post questions this & the following week so that you can focus or
		your Squib 1**
6	2/11, 13	D+CP & Propositional anaphora continued (Squib 1 du) Thu
		Krifka (2013); Snider (2017)
		tentative: Mon 2/11: student-led discussion: [name] on []
		tentative: Wed 2/13: student-led discussions: [name] on []
		JSmighttalk about Bondarenko (2021)
7	2/18, 20	Claimsandfactsin the air
		*Travis et al. (2014); Bliss (2014); Potsdam (2011); Kaufman (2011); Yap and
		Grunow-Harsta (2010), Toosarvandani (2014)
		[Paul ed. 2014 Yap, Grunow-Harsta & Wrona eds. 2011]
8	2/25, 27	tentative: Mon 2/25: student-led discussion: [name] on [] Amritavalli (2013)
		Bayer and Viesel (2019)
		tentative: Wed 2/27: student-led discussions: [name] on [] Yap and GrunwatdH
		(2010)
		Catch-up
		Winter Reading Break
		-

Wk	Date	Topic & Reading (*=required)
9	3/11,13	Clause types & speech acts
		*Dayal and Grimshaw (2009); Bhatt and Dayal (2020)
		tentative: Mon 3/11: student-led discussions: [name] on [] Den Dikken and Sing
		hapreecha (2004)
10	3/18, 20	Embedded main clause phenomena (Squib 2 d)ue Th
		*McCloskey (2006); Heycock (2017); Woods (2016); Wood (2013); Liang et al.
		(2021);Özy ld z (2021)
11	3/25, 27	Speech act embedding `complementizers' (mtg by Fri
		Kim (2018); Goodhue and Shimoyama (2021); Schwager (2010); AnderBois (2017)
		2019); Kidwai (2014)
12	4/1, 3	Potential topic: Embedded & unembedded `say' and evidentiality
		Final project presentations [name], [name]
13	4/8	Final project presentations [name], [name]
	4/10	Final project presentations: [name], [name]

LING 675/775 Handout #1
Winter 2025 Junko Shimoyama

References

- Amritavalli, Amrit. 2013. Nominal and interrogative complements in kannadaldep insights, broad perspectives: essays in honor of Mamoru Saito
- AnderBois, Scott. 2017. An illocutionary account of reportative evidentials in imperativeseedings of Semantics and Linguistic Theory 289–479.
- AnderBois, Scott. 2019. Reportatives and quotatives in Mayan languagesodeedings of Form and Analysis in Mayan Linguistics (FAMLi). 5JRL https://escholarship.org/uc/item/2rf3727m .
- Arkoh, Ruby, and Lisa Matthewson. 2013. A familiar de nite article in Akaimgua 123:1–30.
- Baker, Mark C. 2011. Degrees of nominalization: Clause-like constituents in Salkhyaua 121:1164–1193.
- Bayer, Josef, and Yvonne Viesel, ed. 20 Poceedings of the workshop: Clause typing and the syntax-to-discourse relation in head- nal languages0. Konstanz: Fachbereich Linguistik der Universiät Konstanz.
- Bhatt, Rajesh. 2010. Comments on "referential cps and dps: an operator movement action actio
- Bhatt, Rajesh, and Veneeta Dayal. 2020. Polar ques(achbereic250(ques(ite)-2.054 0 T)-250(8gdi-Urdu9091 Tf 3-250).

- Özy ld z, Deniz. 2017. Attitude reports with and without true beliefSemantics and Linguistic Theory volume 27, 397–417.
- Özy ld z, Deniz. 2021. The event structue of attitudes. Doctoral Dissertation, University of Massachusetts at Amherst.
- Potsdam, Eric. 2011. Exclamatives and temporal nominalizations in Austrones Naomimalization in asian languages: Diachronic and typological perspectives Foong Ha Yap, Karen Grunowaltsta, and Janick Wrona, 659–684. John Benjamins.
- Schwager, Magdalena. 2010. On what has been said in Tagalog: Reportative videoric from evidentials 28:221–246.
- Snider, Todd. 2017. Anaphoric reference to propositions. Doctoral Dissertation, Cornell University.
- Toosarvandani. 2014. Two types of deverbal nominalization in Northern Palantejuage90:786-833.
- Travis, Lisa deMena, Jeannot Fils Ranaivoson, and Jean Lewis Botouhely. 2014. Matagasand CLAIM-type nominals: An exploration. In Increase Ileana Paul. John Benjamins Publishing Company.
- Wood, Jim. 2013. Inversion in embedded questions (Yale Grammatical Diversity Project: English in North America). Available online at http://ygdp.yale.edu/phenomena/inversion-embedded-questions. Accessed on 2021-09-20). Updated by Tom McCoy (2015) and Katie Martin (2018).
- Woods, Rebecca. 2016. Investigating the syntax of speech acts: embedding illocutionary force. Doctoral Dissertation, University of York.
- Yap, Foong Ha, and Karen Grunowaltsta. 2010. Non-referential uses of nominalization constructions: Asian perspectives anguage and Linguistics Compated 154–1175.